

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.


Claims 7, 5 and 17 were allowed, and claim 11 was considered allowable but for rejections under 35 U.S. C. 112, 2nd paragraph of the base claim. The remaining claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph.

The above amendment was an attempt to overcome any ambiguities; however, to further clarify the examiners questions of the previous action:

- The power source of Claim 4, line 8 is the same power source on line 5;
- The visual display lifting apparatus of claim 5, line 29 is the same as recited on line 8; and
- The upper surface and lower surface" of claim 6, line 19 is the same as recited on line 15.

Therefore, in view of foregoing amendments and clarifications, the applicant submits that allowance of the present application and all remaining claims, as amended, is in order and a formal Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested at the earliest possible date.

Respectfully submitted,


John D. Gugliotta, P.E., Esq.
Registration No. 36,538

Patent, Copyright & Trademark Law Group, LLC
USPTO Customer No. 33055
202 Delaware Building
137 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308
(330) 253-5678
Facsimile (330) 253-6658